

Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.



Reserve
aSB249
.A3

ENTERED IN THE COMPUTER

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Agricultural Marketing Service
Cotton Division

LIBRARY
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE


JUG 18 2009

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED

1950 — 1954

MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE

August 1954



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE
Cotton Division

COTTON VARIETIES PLANTED
1950-54

CONTENTS

	Table No.	Page No.
Introduction	1	1
Leading Varieties	1	1
United States Map	2	2
Varieties by States and United States	1	3
Varieties by States and Counties:		
Alabama		
Varieties by State	8	10
Varieties by Counties	9	11
Arizona		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Arkansas		
Varieties by State	15	16
Varieties by Counties	16	17
California		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Florida		
Varieties by State	23	26
Georgia		
Varieties by State	6	8
Varieties by Counties	7	9
Illinois		
Varieties by State	23	26
Kentucky		
Varieties by State	23	26
Louisiana		
Varieties by State	17	18
Varieties by Parishes	18	19
Mississippi		
Varieties by State	10	12
Varieties by Counties	11	13
Missouri		
Varieties by State	23	26
Varieties by Counties	14	15
New Mexico		
Varieties by State	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
North Carolina		
Varieties by State	2	4
Varieties by Counties	3	5
Oklahoma		
Varieties by State	19	20
Varieties by Counties	20	21
South Carolina		
Varieties by State	4	6
Varieties by Counties	5	7
Tennessee		
Varieties by State	12	14
Varieties by Counties	13	15
Texas		
Varieties by State	21	22-23
Varieties by Counties	22	24-25
Texas-District 6		
Varieties by District	24	26
Varieties by Counties	25	27
Virginia		
Varieties by State	23	26

P. O. Box 8074, Crosstown Station

Memphis, Tennessee

August 1954

Cotton Varieties Planted, United States

1950-54

This is the fourth in the series of annual reports entitled "Cotton Varieties Planted", published by the Department of Agriculture. The report presents estimates of the percentage of the various varieties of cotton planted in the United States in 1954, by counties, districts, and states. Comparative data for the four years 1950-53 are shown by districts and by states.

In the last two years, a preliminary report presenting the percentage distribution of cotton varieties planted by counties and districts in the early harvesting area of south Texas has preceded the issuance of the report for the United States. This report is released before the national publication is completed so that variety data may be available prior to the commencement of the active marketing season in south Texas.

The variety data are based principally on estimates furnished by cotton ginners for the territories served by their gins. These estimates are supplemented by information supplied by other persons closely associated with cotton culture in their respective areas, such as seed breeders and dealers, and county agents.

The estimates contained herein indicate that in 1954 three general varieties account for nearly two-thirds of the United States cotton production. These varieties are Deltapine, Acala, and Coker. In 1954, acreage planted to Deltapine accounts for 31 percent of the United States total; Acala 19 percent; and Coker 13 percent. Corresponding percentages for last season are 31, 21, and 15 percent, respectively. During the five years 1950-54 the proportion of the total acreage planted to Deltapine has not varied materially. The proportion planted to Coker has decreased during the last two years. There was also a moderate decrease in the proportion of Acala planted in 1954, following four years in which there were steady increases in the proportion of the total acreage planted to this variety.

Weather and soil conditions in the month of April this year were favorable for land preparation and planting of cotton throughout the belt, and the crop was off to a relatively early start. In most of the central and some eastern parts of the belt, unseasonably cool temperatures in May, with killing frosts occurring in the first part of the month, necessitated the replanting of a large acreage of cotton one or more times. The Crop Reporting Board in the Cotton Report of July 1, 1954, stated that the percentage of the crop replanted in these areas this year was probably in excess of any other year. The survey from which the variety data were compiled was under way during the period when cotton was being planted and replanted. This required the rechecking of varieties in the sections of heaviest replanting which indicated some tendency on the part of farmers in these sections to shift to earlier maturing varieties.

In the southeastern part of the belt, Coker 100-W continued to be the principal variety of cotton planted. In 1954, practically the entire acreage in North Carolina and South Carolina was planted to this variety and well over half of the 1954 acreage in Georgia is Coker 100-W. Deltapine continued to be the leading variety planted in the south central area of the belt. Over half of the cotton acreage in this area in 1954 was planted to Deltapine. The second most important variety was Stoneville. The proportion of Fox continued to increase in 1954 and this variety comprised a fairly substantial proportion of the total acreage in some parts of the south central area. In the southwestern area, the predominant varieties this year, in their order of relative importance, are Lankart, Deltapine, Rowden and Lockett. Lankart accounts for nearly one-fifth of the total cotton acreage in the southwestern area in 1954. Acala continued to be the principal variety planted in the far western area. Acala accounts for practically the entire 1954 cotton acreage in California, about 90 percent of the total in Arizona, and over two-thirds of the acreage in New Mexico. The acreage planted to American-Egyptian cotton decreased sharply in 1954. The principal variety of American-Egyptian cotton this year is Pima 32; however, Pima S-1 accounted for most of the American-Egyptian acreage in Arizona. Due to changes in the relative importance of the various varieties of cotton, there has been an alteration in the leading varieties shown in the summary table below. The relatively new variety Fox displaces Macha as one of ten principal varieties of cotton in 1954.

The data presented in this report are estimates which should serve as reliable guides as to the geographical distribution and the proportions of the various varieties of cotton throughout the Cotton Belt.

Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, United States, 1950-54

Year	Acala	Coker 100-W	Delta- pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140 and Stormproof	Rowden	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
1950	15	13	29	3	-	3	1	1	8	7	17	100
1951	16	14	31	4	-	3	2	1	7	6	11	100
1952	19	17	30	4	*	2	2	2	4	4	11	100
1953	21	15	31	4	2	1	3	3	3	4	12	100
1954	19	13	31	4	3	1	6	2	3	4	14	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

UNITED STATES Districts for Cotton Quality Reports





Table 1. United States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and United States, 1950-54

Variety and Year	Alabama	Arizona	Arkansas	Calif.	Florida	Georgia	Illinois	Kentucky	Louisiana	Miss.	Missouri	New Mexico	North Carolina	Oklahoma	South Carolina	Tennessee	Texas	Virginia	United States
	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	
Acala																			
1950	-	84	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
1951	-	96	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	15	
1952	-	94	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	16	
1953	-	93	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	19	
1954	-	90	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	21	
Coker 100-W																		5	
1950	40	-	3	-	27	57	-	2	4	11	-	-	96	97	3	*	99	13	
1951	46	-	2	-	87	60	*	4	11	-	-	98	*	99	3	*	100	14	
1952	41	-	1	-	81	60	*	1	9	1	-	98	*	99	2	*	98	17	
1953	40	-	1	-	66	60	-	-	1	7	2	-	98	*	99	1	*	99	15
1954	37	-	1	-	69	58	-	-	6	1	-	98	*	99	1	*	99	13	
Deltapine																			
1950	28	-	54	-	42	15	25	90	91	72	47	8	*	12	*	60	11	29	
1951	28	-	63	-	6	12	13	94	91	77	53	7	*	13	*	60	12	31	
1952	24	-	70	-	1	11	9	96	81	43	6	2	5	*	60	21	2	30	
1953	24	-	67	-	7	13	12	83	96	82	31	11	1	9	*	58	18	1	
1954	25	-	68	-	15	10	-	83	94	79	30	6	1	8	*	57	15	1	
Empire																			
1950	7	-	6	-	-	17	55	5	*	1	2	1	*	1	*	20	1	3	
1951	9	-	7	-	-	20	72	4	-	2	1	1	*	1	*	24	1	4	
1952	10	-	6	-	-	19	51	2	-	2	1	1	*	1	*	23	2	4	
1953	12	-	5	-	-	21	30	1	*	2	8	3	*	19	2	*	2	4	
1954	19	-	5	-	-	1	24	-	*	2	4	*	*	1	*	21	1	4	
Fox																			
1950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
1951	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	1	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	
1952	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	32	14	2	26	-	*	10	-	-	*	
1953	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	2	72	17	4	40	-	*	1	*	3	
1954	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	*	1	*	1	3	
Hibred and Half & Half																			
1950	*	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	4	
1951	*	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	14	9	3	
1952	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	7	3	
1953	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	4	2	
1954	*	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	4	1	
Lankart																			
1950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	
1951	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	20	-	2	
1952	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	-	2	
1953	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	3	
1954	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	6	
Lockett 140 and Stormproof																			
1950	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	3	
1951	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	1	
1952	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	-	2	
1953	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	-	3	
1954	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	2	
Rowden																			
1950	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	8	
1951	-	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	1	
1952	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	2	
1953	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	-	4	
1954	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	-	3	
Stoneville																			
1950	14	-	20	-	27	8	18	-	2	9	32	-	-	-	-	11	*	3	
1951	8	-	15	-	6	5	15	-	3	7	30	8	-	-	-	14	*	8	
1952	9	-	15	-	4	3	27	-	3	5	30	5	-	-	-	7	*	2	
1953	10	-	14	-	2	2	24	-	1	4	27	3	*	-	-	12	*	9	
1954	6	-	13	-	*	2	18	-	1	4	20	3	*	-	-	13	-	2	
Other 1/																			
1950	11	16	5	-	4	3	2	-	3	7	17	41	4	27	3	3	46	1	
1951	4	4	-	1	3	-	2	2	3	15	34	2	28	1	4	48	-	16	
1952	6	6	-	14	7	12	1	*	3	16	14	1	35	1	2	43	-	16	
1953	13	7	4	-	24	4	2	2	1	4	13	18	1	20	1	4	36	-	13
1954	12	10	4	-	15	4	10	-	3	5	8	15	1	23	-	12	38	-	14
Total		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1951	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1952	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1953	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
1954	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100

• Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 2. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Wilds	Delta-pine	Empire	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1950	73	94	1	1	-	-	4	100
1951	142	97	*	1	*	-	2	100
1952	169	98	*	1	*	-	1	100
1953	132	96	*	2	1	-	1	100
1954	-	97	*	1	1	-	1	100
District 2								
1950	55	97	-	*	*	-	3	100
1951	215	98	-	*	*	-	2	100
1952	236	98	*	*	-	-	2	100
1953	169	99	-	*	-	*	1	100
1954	-	99	*	*	*	*	*	100
District 3								
1950	64	97	*	*	-	-	3	100
1951	203	99	-	-	-	-	1	100
1952	179	99	-	*	*	*	1	100
1953	163	100	*	*	*	*	*	100
1954	-	98	-	1	*	*	1	100
State								
1950	192	96	*	*	-	-	4	100
1951	560	98	*	*	*	-	2	100
1952	584	98	*	1	*	*	1	100
1953	464	98	*	1	*	*	1	100
1954	-	98	*	1	*	*	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.


1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 3. North Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Wilds	Delta-pine	Empire	Rucker	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Anson	13	15.1	99	-	1	-	-	-	100
Cabarrus	9	3.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Catawba	11	2.4	89	-	*	5	4	2	100
Cleveland	27	42.6	99	-	*	-	*	*	100
Gaston	4	1.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Iredell	9	8.9	94	-	2	1	-	3	100
Lincoln	10	10.3	95	-	3	-	1	1	100
Mecklenburg	6	7.3	98	-	1	-	-	1	100
Polk	3	2.8	85	-	-	15	-	-	100
Rowan	5	4.3	85	-	14	1	-	-	100
Rutherford	9	9.2	85	-	5	8	1	1	100
Stanley	5	2.9	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Union	23	17.2	100	*	-	*	-	-	100
All Other	4	4.2	98	-	1	-	-	1	100
Total	138	131.7	97	*	1	1	*	1	100
			Coker 100-W	Coker Wilds	Delta-pine	Empire	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
District 2									
Bertie	5	7.0	97	-	-	-	3	-	100
Chowan	3	3.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Edgecombe	10	8.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Franklin	10	5.2	100	*	-	-	-	-	100
Gates	5	2.4	100	*	-	-	-	-	100
Greene	3	1.8	100	-	*	-	-	*	100
Halifax	18	24.0	99	-	-	1	-	-	100
Hertford	3	5.6	99	-	*	-	*	1	100
Johnston	24	16.8	100	*	-	-	-	-	100
Lenoir	3	2.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Nash	14	11.6	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Northampton	18	27.6	99	-	*	1	-	*	100
Perquimans	4	1.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pitt	6	10.1	98	-	2	-	-	*	100
Wake	10	4.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Warren	11	5.4	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Wayne	15	12.3	100	-	*	-	-	-	100
Wilson	10	8.8	100	-	*	-	-	-	100
All Other	11	9.8	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	183	169.0	99	*	*	*	*	*	100
			Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	—	Total
District 3									
Bladen	4	3.0	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Cumberland	13	13.0	99	1	-	-	-	-	100
Duplin	4	4.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Harnett	15	23.0	99	-	-	-	1	-	100
Hoke	7	12.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Lee	3	2.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Richmond	5	3.4	99	-	-	-	1	-	100
Robeson	27	51.4	99	*	*	*	*	-	100
Sampson	18	22.6	98	2	-	-	-	-	100
Scotland	10	17.9	100	-	-	*	-	-	100
All Other	5	10.0	99	-	-	-	1	-	100
Total	111	163.4	98	1	*	*	1	-	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 4. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Wilds	Delta-pine	Fox	White Gold	Stonewilt	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
1950	113	98	-	1	-	1	*	-	100
1951	195	98	-	1	-	1	-	-	100
1952	187	99	*	*	-	*	-	1	100
1953	167	99	-	*	-	*	-	1	100
1954	-	99	-	-	1	*	-	*	100
District 2									
1950	193	98	1	*	-	*	*	1	100
1951	436	99	1	*	-	*	*	-	100
1952	332	99	*	*	-	-	-	1	100
1953	356	99	*	*	-	*	*	1	100
1954	-	99	*	*	*	-	-	*	100
District 3									
1950	107	97	-	-	-	*	3	-	100
1951	241	99	-	*	-	*	1	-	100
1952	152	98	*	*	-	*	1	1	100
1953	176	98	-	1	-	-	1	*	100
1954	-	97	-	-	1	*	1	*	100
State									
1950	413	97	*	*	-	1	1	1	100
1951	872	99	*	*	-	*	*	1	100
1952	671	99	*	*	-	*	*	1	100
1953	699	99	*	*	-	*	*	1	100
1954	-	99	*	-	1	*	*	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 5. South Carolina: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Fox	White Gold	Other 1/	—	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
Abbeville	7	7.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Anderson	27	24.2	97	1	-	2	-	100
Cherokee	11	7.0	96	3	1	-	-	100
Chester	13	7.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Edgefield	8	10.2	100	-	-	-	-	100
Fairfield	6	4.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Greenville	16	16.4	98	2	-	-	-	100
Greenwood	7	2.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Laurens	13	13.1	99	*	-	1	-	100
McCormick	3	2.6	100	-	-	-	-	100
Newberry	7	7.2	99	-	-	1	-	100
Oconee	9	7.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Pickens	8	8.1	100	-	-	-	-	100
Saluda	7	6.8	100	-	-	-	-	100
Spartanburg	35	23.1	99	*	*	1	-	100
Union	7	3.5	95	2	2	1	-	100
York	20	15.9	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	204	167.5	99	1	*	*	-	100
		Coker Wilds	Coker 100-W	Fox	Other 1/	—		Total
District 2								
Chesterfield	16	28.0	-	100	-	-	-	100
Clarendon	10	34.2	-	99	-	1	-	100
Darlington	11	22.8	-	100	-	-	-	100
Dillon	10	25.1	-	98	1	1	-	100
Florence	15	45.3	-	100	-	-	-	100
Horry	3	4.0	-	100	-	-	-	100
Kershaw	8	12.1	-	100	-	-	-	100
Lancaster	9	9.0	2	98	-	*	-	100
Lee	14	45.8	-	100	-	-	-	100
Marion	5	10.0	-	100	-	-	-	100
Marlboro	18	35.9	-	96	4	-	-	100
Richland	5	4.2	-	100	-	-	-	100
Sumter	24	47.7	-	100	-	-	-	100
Williamsburg	11	27.6	-	100	-	-	-	100
All Other	1	4.1	-	100	-	-	-	100
Total	160	355.8	*	99	*	*	-	100
		Coker 100-W	Fox	Stonewilt	White Gold	Other 1/	—	Total
District 3								
Aiken	11	13.2	100	-	-	-	-	100
Allendale	7	7.8	100	-	-	-	-	100
Bamberg	6	10.6	100	-	-	-	-	100
Barnwell	8	11.8	100	-	-	-	-	100
Calhoun	8	18.2	84	1	14	-	1	100
Colleton	7	6.4	100	-	-	-	-	100
Dorchester	6	13.6	98	2	-	-	-	100
Hampton	6	9.5	100	-	-	-	-	100
Lexington	7	10.2	99	*	-	-	1	100
Orangeburg	33	70.5	97	2	-	*	1	100
All Other	3	4.4	99	-	-	-	1	100
Total	102	176.2	97	1	1	*	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.




Table 6. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stoneville	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1										
1950	202	50	15	26	-	-	7	*	2	100
1951	307	63	7	19	-	-	5	5	1	100
1952	300	58	10	26	-	*	3	2	1	100
1953	295	57	10	28	-	-	2	1	2	100
1954	-	57	8	30	1	-	1	1	2	100
District 2										
1950	161	52	22	12	-	-	7	2	5	100
1951	349	59	17	14	-	-	5	*	5	100
1952	255	56	17	16	-	*	3	-	8	100
1953	273	54	20	18	-	2	3	*	3	100
1954	-	50	16	23	3	4	2	*	2	100
District 3										
1950	127	72	7	8	-	-	7	-	6	100
1951	266	72	6	12	-	-	4	2	4	100
1952	177	72	4	15	-	*	2	4	3	100
1953	184	75	6	13	-	*	1	2	3	100
1954	-	69	5	17	2	1	1	4	1	100
State										
1950	490	57	15	17	-	-	8	1	2	100
1951	922	60	12	20	-	-	5	1	2	100
1952	732	60	11	19	-	*	3	2	5	100
1953	752	60	13	21	-	1	2	1	2	100
1954	-	58	10	24	2	2	2	1	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 7. Georgia: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta- pine	Empire	Fox	Stone- ville	Other 1/ 1/	Total	District 1		District 2		Total		
										Number bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent		
District 1																
Baldwin	3	1.9	53	32	15	-	-	-	100	3	3.7	46	4	1	100	
Banks	2	4.0	65	2	33	-	-	-	100	3	4.4	68	18	-	100	
Barrow	5	5.9	32	32	34	2	-	-	100	4	7.1	69	10	7	100	
Bartow	10	24.1	78	-	20	-	-	-	100	4	7.2	69	9	-	100	
Butts	2	3.9	65	10	25	-	-	-	100	5	7.1	47	8	23	100	
Carroll	13	9.7	37	-	57	-	-	-	100	7	27.7	40	32	•	100	
Chattooga	3	4.4	8	7	84	-	-	-	100	4	11.7	35	9	32	100	
Cherokee	2	.4	27	-	57	-	10	1	100	10	10.0	42	16	6	100	
Clarke	4	4.6	70	1	28	-	-	1	100	9	15.4	55	15	6	100	
Cobb	4	.7	16	7	70	-	-	7	100	3	8.2	49	11	-	100	
Coweta	10	8.1	29	*	68	-	3	-	100	3	9.5	31	-	-	100	
Elbert	5	7.8	60	5	31	4	-	-	100	3	2.7	82	9	*	100	
Floyd	7	6.3	62	*	38	-	*	-	100	5	7.9	56	2	8	100	
Forsyth	6	1.1	5	41	24	-	-	-	100	5	11.4	72	10	13	100	
Franklin	9	10.9	48	7	45	-	-	-	100	4	9.9	37	10	18	100	
Fulton	3	1.4	15	-	33	-	-	-	100	4	10.9	18	74	3	100	
Gordon	9	10.4	72	1	24	-	2	4	100	5	9.5	32	-	-	100	
Greene	5	2.2	53	17	30	-	-	-	100	2	4.8	64	3	33	100	
Gwinnett	8	3.5	59	6	14	1	-	-	100	5	13.6	70	11	-	100	
Hall	6	2.1	38	12	50	-	-	-	100	3	9.3	77	9	10	100	
Hancock	4	7.0	12	-	63	1	24	-	100	5	12.2	64	5	28	100	
Haralson	4	2.2	10	10	74	-	-	-	100	4	12.5	26	28	5	100	
Hart	8	11.5	72	*	28	-	-	-	100	10	4.8	60	4	25	-	100
Henry	7	12.1	62	12	26	-	-	-	100	4	11.1	46	8	36	-	100
Jackson	9	9.3	75	6	19	-	-	-	100	28	35.3	56	19	2	3	100
Jasper	5	4.6	39	7	21	33	-	-	100	136	272.9	50	16	23	3	100
Lamar	3	1.4	45	-	55	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madison	4	11.2	82	5	13	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
McDuffie	3	6.1	64	14	12	-	-	-	100	10	-	-	-	-	-	100
Meriweather	8	10.0	70	28	1	1	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Morgan	10	14.2	88	2	10	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Murray	3	3.2	9	-	81	-	-	-	10	10	-	-	-	-	-	100
Newton	5	6.7	59	22	8	11	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Oconee	4	6.3	52	14	33	1	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Oglethorpe	4	1.4	87	1	12	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Paulding	2	1.7	18	-	76	-	-	-	100	6	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pike	4	4.5	79	-	20	-	-	-	100	1	-	-	-	-	-	100
Polk	6	6.2	47	-	47	-	-	-	100	4	-	-	-	-	-	100
Rockdale	4	4.2	80	11	9	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Spalding	4	2.5	70	-	30	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Troup	3	1.3	41	1	58	-	-	-	100	1	12.5	79	9	10	-	100
Walker	3	2.3	15	9	60	5	-	-	100	11	2	14	24	5	6	100
Walton	16	21.2	61	29	4	6	-	-	100	4	31	1	-	4	100	
Warren	3	9.0	55	-	32	-	3	10	100	10	15	12	2	1	6	100
Whitfield	4	1.8	22	3	65	-	8	2	100	100	4	86	4	8	-	100
Wilkes	6	3.2	59	17	18	-	6	-	100	1	2.0	65	-	35	-	100
All Other	21	16.6	49	4	44	-	2	1	100	15	2.5	78	4	12	1	100
Total	273	295.1	57	8	30	1	1	3	100	118	184.0	69	5	17	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.




Table 8. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stoneville	Stone-wilt	White Gold	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1950	275	11	36	15	-	*	28	-	4	6	100
1951	374	14	40	23	-	2	17	-	1	3	100
1952	414	14	31	23	-	7	19	-	2	4	100
1953	472	14	29	25	-	7	20	*	1	4	100
1954	-	13	28	35	1	8	11	-	1	3	100
District 2											
1950	185	60	29	1	-	1	4	*	*	5	100
1951	293	62	29	1	-	1	2	-	-	5	100
1952	265	59	28	3	-	3	2	*	*	5	100
1953	288	56	31	2	-	5	1	*	*	5	100
1954	-	54	33	3	3	4	1	-	-	2	100
District 3											
1950	119	76	8	-	-	1	*	8	-	7	100
1951	246	42	29	11	-	1	9	3	1	4	100
1952	218	70	8	1	-	4	1	10	-	6	100
1953	207	70	5	2	-	6	*	10	-	7	100
1954	-	67	9	2	-	11	1	7	-	3	100
State											
1950	579	40	28	7	-	*	14	2	2	7	100
1951	913	46	28	9	-	1	8	1	1	6	100
1952	897	41	24	10	-	5	9	3	1	7	100
1953	967	40	24	12	-	6	10	3	*	5	100
1954	-	37	25	19	1	8	6	1	1	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 9. Alabama: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred and Half & Half	Plains	Stoneville	White Gold	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Blount	17	13.4	56	-	33	2	-	7	-	2	100
Cherokee	18	26.0	9	5	18	-	22	43	-	3	100
Colbert	13	22.7	3	75	12	*	3	2	-	5	100
Cullman	38	31.4	15	13	22	-	15	35	-	*	100
DeKalb	34	45.0	40	-	17	10	17	15	1	-	100
Etowah	12	9.0	68	16	6	-	-	10	-	-	100
Franklin	11	12.7	20	34	14	1	4	24	-	3	100
Jackson	19	26.2	10	15	53	1	10	7	-	4	100
Lauderdale	26	26.3	-	33	60	-	2	4	1	-	100
Lawrence	24	42.0	5	48	30	-	6	6	3	2	100
Limestone	28	61.9	-	24	63	-	2	4	7	-	100
Madison	32	75.5	-	36	52	-	6	-	-	6	100
Marion	10	9.6	4	55	16	-	12	2	1	10	100
Marshall	27	30.5	45	5	9	-	17	19	2	3	100
Morgan	15	34.2	-	54	33	-	4	9	-	-	100
Winston	10	6.1	14	31	19	-	15	16	-	5	100
Total	334	472.5	13	28	35	1	8	11	1	3	100
		Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Plains	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total	
District 2											
Autauga	8	6.9	70	-	-	-	-	30	-	100	
Calhoun	6	5.6	69	9	4	-	14	4	-	-	100
Chambers	7	7.7	80	9	6	-	-	1	4	-	100
Chilton	8	10.7	91	2	2	-	1	-	4	-	100
Choctaw	6	6.6	44	39	-	-	12	-	5	-	100
Clay	6	3.2	30	45	19	-	2	4	-	-	100
Cleburne	3	2.6	35	5	25	-	20	15	-	-	100
Dallas	14	30.0	83	7	2	-	6	-	2	-	100
Elmore	11	15.1	76	20	1	-	-	-	3	-	100
Fayette	8	7.2	23	9	1	19	48	-	-	-	100
Greene	6	7.1	45	45	5	5	-	-	-	-	100
Hale	10	17.9	17	65	-	14	-	-	4	-	100
Lamar	8	8.4	16	74	3	6	-	1	-	-	100
Lee	3	2.6	96	-	-	-	-	-	4	-	100
Lowndes	10	8.1	93	2	1	-	1	-	3	-	100
Macon	13	12.8	87	3	1	-	2	3	4	-	100
Marengo	10	19.5	35	59	-	6	*	-	-	-	100
Montgomery	9	9.7	79	11	-	-	-	10	-	-	100
Perry	5	10.7	24	67	-	-	3	-	6	-	100
Pickens	11	14.5	8	74	2	11	-	-	5	-	100
Randolph	8	9.9	66	13	12	-	1	8	-	-	100
Russell	7	7.8	88	2	2	-	2	2	4	-	100
St. Clair	4	3.7	40	58	2	-	-	-	-	-	100
Shelby	3	5.9	53	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Sumter	13	12.2	47	49	1	-	-	-	3	-	100
Talladega	11	8.9	61	27	12	-	-	-	-	-	100
Tallapoosa	6	5.2	81	14	-	-	3	2	-	-	100
Tuscaloosa	11	14.2	1	92	2	1	4	-	-	-	100
Walker	7	4.6	36	21	13	16	14	-	-	-	100
All Other	6	8.5	68	5	10	-	15	-	2	-	100
Total	238	287.8	54	33	3	3	4	1	2	-	100
		Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Plains	Stoneville	Stone-wilt	Other 1/	—	Total	
District 3											
Barbour	8	8.7	94	-	3	1	-	2	-	100	
Bullock	5	4.4	94	-	-	-	3	3	-	100	
Butler	8	8.2	59	26	-	2	-	10	3	-	100
Coffee	8	14.3	66	7	5	6	-	6	10	-	100
Conecuh	8	10.6	43	15	4	19	-	19	-	-	100
Covington	8	18.4	57	5	2	22	1	9	4	-	100
Crenshaw	8	8.2	69	3	8	5	-	9	6	-	100
Dale	4	6.5	71	1	14	6	3	4	1	-	100
Escambia	5	24.3	60	14	-	18	6	-	2	-	100
Geneva	11	27.2	56	1	1	19	-	20	3	-	100
Henry	7	11.0	84	1	6	3	1	2	3	-	100
Houston	8	19.8	76	-	3	8	-	10	3	-	100
Monroe	11	17.3	50	29	-	21	-	-	-	-	100
Pike	11	11.6	81	2	-	2	2	9	4	-	100
Wilcox	8	8.6	83	16	-	-	-	-	1	-	100
All Other	3	7.7	82	15	-	-	-	-	3	-	100
Total	121	206.8	67	9	2	11	1	7	3	-	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.




Table 10. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Coker Staple	Coker Wilds	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1950	825	12	4	1	6	71	-	-	4	2	100
1951	868	11	2	-	3	79	-	-	3	2	100
1952	1,017	9	1	-	3	84	-	-	2	1	100
1953	1,197	7	1	-	3	86	-	-	1	2	100
1954	-	6	2	-	4	80	1	4	-	3	100
District 2											
1950	228	3	-	-	-	67	5	-	22	3	100
1951	335	3	-	-	-	70	6	-	18	3	100
1952	422	3	-	-	-	76	5	-	13	3	100
1953	435	2	-	-	-	74	4	5	12	3	100
1954	-	2	-	-	-	78	3	2	12	3	100
District 3											
1950	153	11	-	1	1	76	1	-	8	2	100
1951	217	16	-	-	-	77	1	-	5	1	100
1952	239	12	-	-	-	79	4	-	3	2	100
1953	283	12	-	-	-	79	4	-	3	2	100
1954	-	12	-	-	-	75	5	4	3	1	100
District 4											
1950	99	3	-	-	-	86	1	-	9	1	100
1951	169	6	-	-	-	81	3	-	8	2	100
1952	181	7	-	-	-	80	3	-	8	2	100
1953	184	7	-	-	-	78	7	-	6	2	100
1954	-	5	-	-	-	81	5	2	6	1	100
State											
1950	1,305	8	2	1	3	72	1	-	9	4	100
1951	1,589	10	1	-	2	77	2	-	7	1	100
1952	1,859	8	1	-	2	81	2	-	5	1	100
1953	2,099	7	-	-	2	82	2	1	4	2	100
1954	-	6	1	-	2	79	2	4	4	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 11. Mississippi: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Bobshaw	Coker 100-W	Coker Staple	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Bolivar	63	145.7	-	-	-	-	97	-	3	-	100
Coahoma	49	135.0	-	1	-	-	93	5	1	-	100
Holmes	24	63.1	-	22	9	-	67	-	2	-	100
Humphreys	17	71.2	-	10	14	29	46	-	-	1	100
Issaquena	4	10.5	1	19	7	3	55	-	12	3	100
Leflore	44	120.1	-	11	-	2	87	-	-	-	100
Quitman	26	71.1	-	4	-	-	77	3	15	1	100
Sharkey	16	48.8	-	3	17	4	38	-	36	2	100
Sunflower	48	173.9	6	6	-	1	82	-	4	1	100
Tallahatchie	29	80.9	1	5	-	-	90	-	2	2	100
Tunica	26	57.9	-	-	-	-	99	1	-	-	100
Warren	3	14.5	-	2	-	-	84	-	14	-	100
Washington	48	133.8	7	2	*	6	82	1	2	*	100
Yazoo	16	70.8	-	12	6	19	59	-	-	4	100
Total	413	1,197.3	2	6	2	4	80	1	4	1	100
		Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Northern Star	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
District 2											
Alcorn	10	12.3	6	66	8	-	3	-	17	-	100
Benton	6	9.5	3	49	14	3	-	-	30	1	100
Calhoun	11	26.8	-	56	-	-	-	-	41	3	100
Chickasaw	11	21.2	1	90	-	2	-	-	6	1	100
De Soto	24	35.2	2	88	5	3	-	-	-	2	100
Grenada	6	19.9	4	85	4	-	-	-	6	1	100
Itawamba	8	9.6	2	82	3	3	-	-	8	2	100
Lafayette	8	17.7	1	84	2	1	-	1	9	2	100
Lee	20	30.4	1	82	1	-	-	-	12	4	100
Marshall	17	30.8	-	63	4	-	-	4	27	2	100
Monroe	16	29.4	1	92	1	4	-	-	1	1	100
Panola	25	58.1	-	83	-	3	-	2	10	2	100
Pontotoc	15	23.5	2	81	4	-	-	-	10	3	100
Prentiss	12	19.5	16	71	4	1	-	-	7	1	100
Tate	14	33.9	-	87	6	4	-	-	2	1	100
Tippah	13	19.7	12	50	6	7	-	-	24	1	100
Tishomingo	6	7.2	3	68	7	2	4	-	13	3	100
Union	9	15.8	3	79	7	1	-	1	8	1	100
Yalobusha	5	14.6	-	93	1	3	-	-	1	2	100
Total	236	435.1	2	78	3	2	*	1	12	2	100
		Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
District 3											
Attala	9	14.1	26	-	63	5	2	-	2	2	100
Carroll	7	12.3	19	1	74	-	2	-	3	1	100
Clay	7	7.1	4	-	89	1	5	-	1	-	100
Hinds	16	35.4	3	-	90	1	2	-	3	1	100
Kemper	8	6.3	14	-	77	5	-	-	4	-	100
Lauderdale	10	8.7	26	-	57	7	-	-	9	1	100
Leake	14	19.0	28	-	64	2	3	-	1	2	100
Lowndes	9	13.6	5	-	76	9	7	-	3	-	100
Madison	17	44.8	6	-	74	3	14	-	3	-	100
Montgomery	9	14.4	7	-	87	-	2	-	3	1	100
Neshoba	15	17.2	35	-	56	6	1	-	2	-	100
Newton	10	9.9	23	-	46	30	-	-	-	1	100
Noxubee	10	15.0	1	-	88	7	1	1	-	2	100
Oktibbeha	4	4.5	7	-	90	-	-	-	1	2	100
Rankin	7	12.8	1	-	89	6	1	-	3	-	100
Scott	9	15.1	16	-	63	18	2	-	1	-	100
Webster	7	11.7	1	-	88	-	3	1	.6	1	100
Winston	14	16.8	14	-	73	-	4	-	7	2	100
All Other	2	4.2	5	-	80	-	-	-	10	5	100
Total	184	282.9	12	*	75	5	4	*	3	1	100
		Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
District 4											
Adams	4	1.7	5	-	93	-	-	-	2	-	100
Amite	8	9.9	1	-	76	-	22	-	1	-	100
Claiborne	4	5.6	2	-	98	-	-	-	-	-	100
Clarke	4	5.7	9	-	81	8	-	-	2	-	100
Copiah	10	12.6	2	-	80	4	-	-	14	-	100
Covington	8	14.4	6	-	80	3	-	-	11	-	100
Forrest	2	4.7	3	-	91	-	-	3	3	-	100
Jasper	10	8.2	17	-	77	5	-	-	-	1	100
Jefferson Davis	11	7.1	-	-	91	6	2	-	1	-	100
Jefferson	5	19.5	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	-	100
Jones	6	6.1	10	-	72	14	-	-	4	-	100
Lamar	4	3.7	30	-	53	11	-	1	5	-	100
Lawrence	8	10.1	-	-	87	3	4	-	5	1	100
Lincoln	4	7.9	1	-	57	6	3	-	33	-	100
Marion	8	11.3	-	-	77	11	-	1	11	-	100
Pike	9	6.7	1	-	73	5	8	-	13	-	100
Simpson	6	12.6	4	-	87	5	-	2	2	-	100
Smith	11	10.7	7	-	92	-	-	-	-	1	100
Walthall	11	13.6	-	-	77	17	-	-	6	-	100
Wayne	4	6.6	45	-	42	5	-	-	8	-	100
All Other	6	5.2	4	1	88	1	-	*	6	-	100
Total	143	183.9	5	*	81	5	2	*	6	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and other varieties of minor importance.




Table 12. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
1950	366	1	63	20	-	3	10	3	100
1951	480	1	63	23	-	1	8	4	100
1952	565	1	62	22	2	1	8	4	100
1953	613	1	61	18	11	1	6	2	100
1954	-	*	61	19	10	2	6	2	100
District 2									
1950	38	14	39	17	-	9	8	13	100
1951	45	11	37	30	-	*	7	15	100
1952	56	11	30	32	*	10	12	5	100
1953	73	8	26	36	5	12	7	6	100
1954	-	7	24	42	4	9	9	5	100
State									
1950	404	3	60	20	-	4	10	3	100
1951	525	3	60	24	-	1	8	4	100
1952	621	2	60	23	2	2	9	2	100
1953	686	1	58	19	10	2	6	4	100
1954	-	1	57	21	10	2	6	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 13. Tennessee: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Northern Star	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
Benton	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Carroll	17	19.4	1	49	40	2	3	1	4	-	100
Chester	9	11.3	-	76	13	2	7	-	-	2	100
Crockett	12	45.0	-	58	21	12	-	1	6	2	100
Decatur	2	1.7	-	54	9	33	4	-	-	-	100
Dyer	18	46.3	-	57	7	18	-	*	14	4	100
Fayette	20	36.1	1	45	22	5	-	2	23	2	100
Gibson	22	58.8	-	64	31	2	-	-	2	1	100
Hardeman	16	25.5	-	57	30	-	1	-	12	-	100
Hardin	5	4.8	-	88	4	3	3	-	-	2	100
Haywood	21	58.0	-	81	10	5	-	*	1	3	100
Henderson	15	16.1	-	46	36	2	16	-	-	-	100
Henry	4	3.1	-	40	56	-	-	-	4	-	100
Lake	11	41.0	-	44	-	56	-	-	-	-	100
Lauderdale	22	60.8	-	63	20	7	-	3	6	1	100
McNairy	18	19.1	-	66	7	2	24	-	1	-	100
Madison	22	35.6	-	65	18	12	-	*	1	4	100
Obion	9	15.2	3	61	14	11	1	..	8	2	100
Shelby	27	46.2	3	64	24	7	-	1	1	-	100
Tipton	20	62.2	-	66	16	7	2	*	8	1	100
Weakley	6	6.9	1	38	43	11	-	-	1	6	100
Total	298	613.1	*	61	19	10	2	1	6	1	100
		Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Stoneville	Tennessee 241	Other 1/	Total	

District 2


Franklin	3	7.8	4	36	54	-	-	-	-	6	100
Giles	5	7.5	11	16	50	8	5	7	-	3	100
Lawrence	16	23.3	5	12	58	1	19	1	-	4	100
Lincoln	8	19.2	14	9	38	-	6	29	-	4	100
Rutherford	4	6.2	-	86	-	7	-	-	-	7	100
All Other	11	8.6	2	62	12	12	1	*	7	4	100
Total	47	72.6	7	24	42	4	9	9	1	4	100

Table 14. Missouri: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties, crop of 1954

County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Paula	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
County												
Butler	10	20.6	-	-	19	*	45	8	5	22	*	100
Dunklin	40	118.1	2	2	28	*	26	7	1	34	*	100
Mississippi	15	37.1	-	-	38	-	56	*	-	6	-	100
New Madrid	41	114.3	*	5	27	-	55	2	-	11	-	100
Pemiscot	40	106.1	-	10	32	*	31	4	-	23	*	100
Scott	9	22.2	*	-	36	-	47	4	-	13	*	100
Stoddard	12	33.1	*	*	34	2	49	4	-	10	*	100
All Other	1	.9	-	-	30	-	45	-	22	3	-	100
Total	168	452.4	1	4	30	*	40	4	1	20	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 15. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Paula	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1											
1950	32	.	29	6	-	34	-	21	6	4	100
1951	47	.	43	7	-	27	-	13	6	4	100
1952	39	.	48	7	.	29	-	8	3	5	100
1953	44	.	53	5	1	32	*	3	2	4	100
1954	-	.	58	3	3	27	-	3	1	5	100
District 2											
1950	629	2	61	10	-	*	2	7	15	3	100
1951	747	1	68	10	-	*	2	6	10	3	100
1952	787	.	74	9	*	*	2	2	9	4	100
1953	877	1	67	8	11	*	2	1	8	2	100
1954	-	.	68	8	10	*	2	1	8	3	100
District 3											
1950	74	2	50	1	-	2	-	17	24	4	100
1951	89	2	62	1	-	2	-	11	19	3	100
1952	96	1	66	3	-	1	-	7	20	2	100
1953	116	2	75	3	1	1	-	5	12	1	100
1954	-	2	79	2	*	1	-	5	9	2	100
District 4											
1950	339	6	51	2	-	*	-	6	29	6	100
1951	362	6	57	3	-	*	-	5	23	6	100
1952	422	3	64	1	*	*	-	3	27	2	100
1953	490	2	65	1	3	*	-	1	27	1	100
1954	-	2	65	1	2	*	1	1	24	4	100
State											
1950	1,074	3	54	6	-	3	1	9	20	4	100
1951	1,245	2	63	7	-	2	1	7	15	3	100
1952	1,344	1	70	6	*	1	2	3	15	2	100
1953	1,527	1	67	5	7	1	1	1	14	3	100
1954	-	1	68	5	7	1	1	1	13	3	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 16. Arkansas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Northern Star	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
Conway	5	4.1	-	76	-	-	18	-	4	-	2	100
Faulkner	7	7.9	-	49	-	-	37	3	2	4	5	100
Independence	5	3.2	-	85	-	-	10	-	-	-	5	100
Izard	3	.8	-	8	-	-	87	-	-	-	5	100
White	12	12.2	*	36	10	-	48	-	-	1	5	100
Yell	5	11.9	-	76	3	10	-	1	7	-	3	100
All Other	7	3.6	-	57	1	3	34	-	-	1	4	100
Total	44	43.7	*	58	3	3	27	1	3	1	4	100
		Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Paula	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
District 2												
Clay	22	43.4	-	-	25	26	12	18	1	15*	3	100
Craighead	38	109.5	-	3	56	7	4	5	5	17	3	100
Crittenden	60	118.6	-	*	84	2	12	-	-	-	2	100
Cross	23	38.9	-	-	73	15	7	-	-	1	4	100
Greene	17	39.4	-	4	25	10	11	6	-	40	4	100
Jackson	21	47.7	1	1	64	22	5	-	2	3	2	100
Lawrence	12	20.0	1	1	19	71	1	-	-	5	2	100
Mississippi	81	231.4	-	1	72	1	20	-	-	5	1	100
Poinsett	28	95.6	-	-	87	2	9	-	-	2	-	100
Randolph	8	12.0	-	-	42	35	14	-	-	7	2	100
St. Francis	31	78.4	1	*	78	7	-	-	1	10	3	100
Woodruff	14	42.7	-	-	93	-	3	-	-	-	4	100
Total	355	877.6	*	1	68	8	10	2	1	8	2	100
		Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total	
District 3												
Ashley	12	40.4	-	98	-	-	-	-	1	1	-	100
Bradley	5	3.8	-	72	-	-	2	11	11	4	-	100
Calhoun	4	2.9	-	37	-	-	-	58	-	5	-	100
Clark	3	1.8	-	63	8	-	3	13	13	-	-	100
Cleveland	5	3.3	-	64	-	-	2	-	31	3	-	100
Columbia	9	7.6	3	47	12	-	7	4	24	3	-	100
Drew	7	15.5	5	68	-	1	*	-	24	2	-	100
Grant	3	.4	-	28	30	-	-	42	-	-	-	100
Hempstead	11	5.4	-	63	6	-	*	25	3	3	-	100
Lafayette	8	15.8	5	88	-	-	*	-	3	4	-	100
Little River	4	4.8	3	83	-	-	-	-	11	3	-	100
Miller	5	5.1	-	70	-	-	-	6	20	4	-	100
Nevada	4	2.5	-	82	4	-	-	-	10	4	-	100
Union	2	1.8	-	89	-	-	5	-	1	5	-	100
All Other	8	4.5	-	46	5	-	10	25	11	3	-	100
Total	90	115.6	2	79	2	*	1	5	9	2	-	100
		Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
District 4												
Arkansas	6	9.1	-	-	66	4	-	-	5	22	3	100
Chicot	12	41.2	-	-	87	-	1	-	-	10	2	100
Desha	16	54.3	-	13	36	-	2	-	-	48	1	100
Jefferson	36	80.9	3	2	87	-	3	-	-	4	1	100
Lee	24	66.3	2	1	58	5	3	-	-	28	3	100
Lincoln	11	31.1	5	-	73	-	8	*	-	11	3	100
Lonoke	23	69.3	-	8	21	-	-	1	-	68	2	100
Monroe	13	35.7	1	-	87	4	2	-	-	2	4	100
Phillips	41	84.8	4	-	77	*	3	-	1	13	2	100
Prairie	6	7.8	-	-	98	-	1	-	-	-	1	100
Pulaski	13	9.9	-	-	70	4	-	-	20	6	-	100
Total	201	490.4	2	3	65	1	2	*	1	24	2	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 17. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1								
1950	119	1	-	98	-	1	*	100
1951	183	*	*	96	-	2	2	100
1952	197	*	-	99	-	1	*	100
1953	210	1	-	99	-	*	*	100
1954	-	*	-	98	1	*	1	100
District 2								
1950	15	1	-	94	-	2	3	100
1951	24	1	-	96	-	2	1	100
1952	22	1	-	94	-	1	4	100
1953	22	6	-	91	-	1	2	100
1954	-	4	-	91	-	*	5	100
District 3								
1950	185	9	2	83	-	3	3	100
1951	302	8	1	85	-	4	2	100
1952	313	2	1	94	-	3	*	100
1953	414	1	1	93	-	1	4	100
1954	-	*	3	91	4	1	1	100
District 4								
1950	103	*	-	96	-	3	1	100
1951	240	1	-	95	-	4	*	100
1952	207	-	-	97	-	3	*	100
1953	149	*	-	96	-	3	*	100
1954	-	*	-	97	*	2	1	100
State								
1950	422	4	1	91	-	2	2	100
1951	749	4	1	91	-	3	1	100
1952	739	1	*	96	-	3	*	100
1953	795	1	*	96	-	1	2	100
1954	-	*	2	94	2	1	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.


1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 18. Louisiana: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by parishes and districts, crop of 1954

District and Parish	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1									
Bossier	10	34.9	-	99	1	-	-	-	100
Caddo	22	58.0	-	99	1	-	-	-	100
De Soto	8	7.1	-	99	-	-	1	-	100
Grant	4	4.5	1	98	-	-	1	-	100
Natchitoches	17	39.2	1	96	3	-	-	-	100
Rapides	13	43.5	-	99	-	-	1	-	100
Red River	10	19.4	2	96	1	1	-	-	100
All Other	9	3.7	-	90	-	8	2	-	100
Total	93	210.3	*	98	1	*	1	-	100
		Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total	
District 2									
Bienville	5	4.4	5	92	2	-	1	-	100
Claiborne	8	5.4	4	85	11	-	-	-	100
Lincoln	5	2.9	3	95	2	-	-	-	100
Union	4	3.9	7	89	1	3	-	-	100
Webster	6	5.0	-	96	2	-	2	-	100
All Other	2	.9	10	89	-	-	1	-	100
Total	30	22.5	4	91	4	*	1	-	100
		Coker 100-W	Delfos	Delta-pine	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total
District 3									
Catahoula	5	13.2	-	-	96	2	1	1	100
Concordia	10	18.1	-	-	96	4	-	*	100
East Carroll	15	48.8	-	24	71	5	-	-	100
Franklin	22	75.1	-	-	96	1	2	1	100
Madison	8	31.6	-	5	81	14	-	*	100
Morehouse	13	52.9	-	-	98	2	*	-	100
Ouachita	5	24.2	-	-	96	4	-	*	100
Richland	23	63.5	1	-	93	5	1	-	100
Tensas	8	37.6	1	*	98	-	-	1	100
West Carroll	17	40.6	1	-	93	3	2	1	100
All Other	3	8.2	4	-	87	9	-	-	100
Total	129	413.8	*	3	91	4	1	1	100
		Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total
District 4									
Acadia	9	8.3	-	98	-	-	2	*	100
Avoyelles	22	32.7	-	98	-	-	1	1	100
East Feliciana	4	4.9	-	98	-	-	2	-	100
Evangeline	14	17.0	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Lafayette	16	14.8	-	99	-	-	1	*	100
Pointe Coupee	10	12.0	-	89	-	-	10	1	100
St. Landry	33	35.4	-	98	-	-	2	*	100
St. Martin	6	5.6	-	82	-	-	15	3	100
Vermilion	6	4.0	-	99	-	-	1	-	100
Washington	6	9.2	2	90	4	-	4	-	100
All Other	4	4.9	*	95	-	4	1	-	100
Total	130	148.8	*	97	*	*	2	1	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and other varieties of minor importance.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Table 19. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Ginnings	Cluster	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140	Lockett Stormproof	Northern Star	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
1950	209	7	2	16	31	12	-	27	-	1	4	100
1951	354	6	*	17	30	11	-	24	-	1	11	100
1952	179	6	-	14	43	6	4	17	-	2	8	100
1953	293	8	*	13	42	8	8	14	-	1	6	100
1954	-	7	*	15	43	6	7	13	-	1	8	100
District 2												
1950	13	-	23	*	4	1	-	1	23	34	14	100
1951	50	-	28	2	1	1	-	2	7	43	16	100
1952	44	-	20	-	9	2	-	2	5	42	20	100
1953	66	-	21	*	6	1	1	*	2	46	23	100
1954	-	-	23	1	6	1	*	1	4	51	13	100
District 3												
1950	18	-	28	19	10	6	-	5	11	10	11	100
1951	53	1	33	17	11	3	-	6	4	16	9	100
1952	36	-	27	12	21	5	-	6	4	14	11	100
1953	68	-	31	9	17	3	-	2	5	21	12	100
1954	-	-	23	11	17	2	-	5	5	24	13	100
State												
1950	240	4	12	13	20	8	-	17	8	11	7	100
1951	457	4	13	14	20	7	-	15	3	14	10	100
1952	259	5	5	12	30	6	3	14	1	7	17	100
1953	427	5	9	10	33	6	6	10	1	12	8	100
1954	-	5	8	12	33	5	5	10	1	13	8	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 20. Oklahoma: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Cluster	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140	Lockett Stormproof	Macha	Northern Star	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1												
Beckham	19	37.1	-	6	33	12	27	3	9	-	10	100
Blaine	7	10.0	-	-	51	-	5	-	32	4	8	100
Caddo	26	42.6	-	16	61	-	-	-	12	1	10	100
Comanche	8	5.1	-	8	40	19	-	-	23	6	4	100
Cotton	4	7.0	-	53	5	3	5	-	-	29	5	100
Custer	9	7.2	-	4	48	15	6	-	10	12	5	100
Dewey	3	3.7	-	-	33	30	5	4	17	-	11	100
Greer	12	15.4	9	3	51	7	-	-	27	-	3	100
Harmon	9	15.6	23	4	44	9	-	-	10	-	10	100
Jackson	21	24.9	8	10	32	18	-	-	29	1	2	100
Kiowa	17	27.0	6	8	55	1	-	-	11	-	19	100
Roger Mills	4	4.9	-	1	20	27	41	2	4	-	5	100
Tillman	16	51.7	22	47	23	1	-	-	2	-	5	100
Washita	21	38.5	-	2	75	4	5	-	9	-	5	100
All Other	-	3.0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	176	293.7	7	15	43	6	7	1	13	1	7	100
 District 2												
		Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Lockett 140	Northern Star	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	—	Total	
Canadian	5	7.2	-	-	2	5	-	33	60	-	100	
Cleveland	3	1.4	-	-	2	4	2	-	87	5	-	100
Hughes	5	2.2	19	-	-	-	-	63	18	-	100	
LeFlore	3	.7	60	-	-	-	-	14	26	-	100	
Logan	5	2.3	33	-	4	-	-	55	8	-	100	
McIntosh	7	5.4	14	9	-	-	-	23	39	15	-	100
Muskogee	15	23.4	29	9	-	-	-	4	51	7	-	100
Omulgee	4	4.7	21	16	-	-	-	-	58	5	-	100
Payne	3	1.9	5	-	-	-	-	-	85	10	-	100
Pittsburg	5	3.0	34	-	-	-	-	3	51	12	-	100
Wagoner	5	4.5	57	5	-	-	-	1	32	5	-	100
All Other	19	9.0	13	4	4	2	-	1	57	19	-	100
Total	79	65.7	23	6	6	1	1	4	51	8	-	100
 District 3												
		Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140	Mebane	Northern Star	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
Bryan	6	10.5	12	-	5	-	38	3	6	29	6	100
Garvin	6	5.3	23	5	-	-	1	-	63	8	-	100
Grady	12	13.6	7	2	76	-	-	4	-	7	4	100
Jefferson	8	10.3	-	16	4	7	-	14	-	52	7	100
McClain	7	5.1	37	20	-	1	-	3	-	31	8	100
Stephens	3	2.5	-	37	-	9	-	13	-	33	8	100
All Other	13	20.5	48	24	-	1	3	3	11	5	5	100
Total	55	67.8	23	11	17	2	7	5	5	24	6	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.




Table 21. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by districts and state, 1950-54

District and State	Dimmings	Acala	American-Egyptian	Deltros	Delta-pine	Empire	Hibred Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140 and Stormproof	Macha	Mebane	Northern Star	Parmaster	Qualla	Roden	Stoneville	Western Prolific	Other 1/	Total	Percent			
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent				
District 1																							
1950	797	1	-	-	3	*	24	*	6	36	3	13	7	*	*	4	3	100					
1951	1,270	*	-	-	1	1	13	*	6	50	3	7	5	*	*	4	10	100					
1952	1,436	*	-	-	2	*	6	1	14	50	1	8	11	*	*	1	4	100					
1953	1,370	*	-	-	2	1	4	6	29	26	*	4	19	*	*	1	7	100					
1954	-	1	-	-	1	*	2	17	20	15	*	18	17	*	*	1	8	100					
District 2																							
1950	531	3	-	-	10	*	14	4	7	5	16	20	3	*	2	2	12	4	100				
1951	530	1	-	-	11	*	13	7	8	9	7	19	3	4	*	*	10	8	100				
1952	247	1	-	-	6	-	12	14	13	11	4	17	2	3	*	*	5	10	100				
1953	444	*	-	-	6	-	24	20	16	4	3	23	1	1	*	*	2	6	100				
1954	-	-	-	-	10	-	11	25	10	3	4	18	2	3	*	*	9	5	100				
District 3																							
1950	14	-	-	-	-	*	15	*	13	*	51	8	*	*	7	*	3	3	100				
1951	27	-	-	-	-	*	11	2	5	1	37	14	2	9	6	*	8	5	100				
1952	9	-	-	-	-	*	9	12	10	1	30	12	2	3	3	4	14	2	100				
1953	30	-	-	-	-	*	12	4	6	*	29	14	2	12	2	*	16	1	100				
1954	-	-	-	-	-	*	11	11	6	-	18	21	4	11	3	*	9	-	100				
District 4																							
1950	547	*	-	-	-	*	2	*	1	*	*	26	*	*	1	66	*	4	100				
1951	613	*	-	-	-	*	2	*	2	*	24	1	*	*	1	66	2	*	3	100			
1952	601	*	-	-	-	*	2	*	1	*	6	1	*	*	1	59	1	*	3	100			
1953	1,074	*	-	-	-	*	3	*	16	*	11	17	4	*	1	13	42	2	*	4	100		
1954	-	*	-	-	-	*	4	*	39	*	*	11	10	*	11	28	*	*	3	100			
District 5																							
1950	115	*	-	-	-	*	39	*	8	*	*	16	*	*	3	24	3	*	6	100			
1951	187	1	-	-	-	*	41	*	9	*	*	17	*	*	2	26	3	*	1	100			
1952	182	*	-	-	-	*	47	*	11	*	*	16	*	*	3	14	2	*	7	100			
1953	246	2	-	-	-	*	51	*	8	*	*	11	1	*	6	13	2	*	4	100			
1954	-	1	-	-	-	*	4	*	47	*	*	13	2	*	7	11	1	*	6	100			
District 6																							
1950	154	71	29	-	-	*	39	*	8	*	*	16	*	*	3	24	3	*	6	100			
1951	204	78	22	-	-	*	41	*	9	*	*	17	*	*	2	26	3	*	1	100			
1952	254	78	15	-	-	*	6	*	11	*	*	16	*	*	3	14	2	*	7	100			
1953	266	67	13	-	-	*	8	*	11	*	*	11	1	*	6	13	2	*	4	100			
1954	-	79	7	-	-	*	2	*	2	*	*	13	2	*	7	11	1	*	6	100			
District 7																							
1950	42	6	-	-	-	*	1	*	2	*	2	2	*	1	45	1	5	-	100				
1951	21	1	-	-	-	*	1	*	1	*	3	20	1	*	21	1	4	22	1	100			
1952	14	5	-	-	-	*	1	*	1	*	12	21	1	*	1	5	15	*	22	1	100		
1953	33	5	-	-	-	*	1	*	1	*	6	27	*	*	11	1	7	16	19	*	100		
1954	-	4	-	-	-	*	1	*	7	*	37	1	*	1	6	1	*	13	6	22	1	100	
District 8																							
1950	222	6	-	-	*	7	10	*	6	*	12	*	*	7	4	*	8	40	6	100			
1951	279	7	7	*	*	7	10	1	*	14	*	8	4	*	1	4	42	6	6	100			
1952	417	*	*	*	*	12	13	3	*	18	*	3	4	*	9	34	6	*	7	100			
1953	279	*	*	*	*	12	13	3	*	23	*	3	4	*	7	23	3	*	7	100			
1954	-	*	*	*	*	7	19	4	*	17	*	5	6	*	8	22	1	*	6	100			
District 9																							
1950	112	*	1	*	1	72	*	6	*	10	*	1	1	*	1	1	1	2	3	100			
1951	236	*	*	*	*	75	*	10	*	18	*	3	4	*	1	1	5	5	5	100			
1952	219	*	*	*	*	77	*	6	*	23	*	3	4	*	1	1	5	3	3	100			
1953	223	*	*	*	*	77	2	*	9	*	22	*	2	*	1	1	5	3	3	100			
1954	-	*	*	*	*	78	*	60	*	7	*	1	1	*	1	1	2	2	2	100			
District 10																							
1950	347	2	-	*	1	25	16	*	11	*	2	7	*	6	7	26	*	6	100				
1951	686	1	*	*	5	49	11	*	2	*	10	*	1	*	1	1	5	9	*	100			
1952	364	1	*	*	6	66	11	*	1	*	23	*	3	*	1	1	5	3	*	100			
1953	290	2	*	*	7	66	11	*	2	*	22	*	5	*	1	1	5	3	*	100			
1954	-	1	*	*	7	62	12	*	6	*	1	*	1	*	1	1	5	3	*	100			
State																							
1950	2,981	2	*	*	1	11	1	*	9	*	10	14	3	*	6	7	26	*	6	100			
1951	4,053	2	*	*	1	12	1	*	7	*	16	10	4	*	1	2	20	2	4	100			
1952	3,743	6	*	*	1	21	2	*	4	*	17	6	6	*	1	1	13	2	6	100			
1953	4,255	6	1	*	1	18	2	*	4	*	10	12	4	*	5	7	11	2	4	100			
1954	-	5	1	*	1	15	1	*	4	*	18	8	5	*	5	11	6	4	10	1	5	100	

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by counties and districts, crop of 1954

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Acala	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett Storm-proof	Macha	Northern Star	Pay-master	Storm-master	Western Prolific	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 1														
Bailey	18	73.9	-	-	-	30	18	35	8	-	-	9	100	
Briscoe	4	12.8	-	-	12	7	2	26	3	35	-	15	100	
Castro	11	47.3	-	-	-	31	25	31	7	-	-	6	100	
Cochran	12	34.2	-	-	4	-	22	29	9	4	32	-	100	
Crosby	19	94.3	-	-	-	24	17	11	35	9	-	4	100	
Dawson	13	12.4	-	21	26	-	6	35	5	-	-	3	100	
Floyd	20	94.5	-	-	-	17	13	-	17	48	3	-	2	100
Gaines	6	4.8	-	17	3	-	18	-	23	10	10	19	100	
Hale	29	229.9	-	-	-	37	23	4	18	16	-	2	100	
Hockley	31	120.4	-	3	8	8	35	15	17	10	1	-	3	100
Howard	10	9.2	-	-	4	4	6	13	18	2	-	24	29	100
Lamb	33	187.8	-	-	1	1	16	11	12	44	10	-	5	100
Lubbock	40	249.1	-	-	-	26	25	13	22	6	2	1	5	100
Lynn	21	48.3	-	-	1	57	1	23	-	1	-	13	4	100
Martin	11	18.7	34	13	13	4	7	5	7	5	-	12	100	
Midland	3	4.0	18	-	15	12	3	12	-	16	-	19	5	100
Farmer	7	38.5	-	-	-	-	17	41	22	-	20	-	-	100
Swisher	10	45.5	-	2	-	-	7	32	2	42	9	-	6	100
Terry	15	22.8	-	-	3	11	35	20	4	18	1	1	7	100
Yoakum	6	11.2	-	-	3	20	7	42	4	7	-	-	17	100
All Other	7	10.9	-	-	1	-	-	85	-	5	-	-	9	100
Total	326	1,370.5	1	1	2	17	20	15	18	17	4	1	4	100
			Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140	Lockett Storm-proof	Macha	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Western Prolific	Other 1/	Total
District 2														
Baylor	3	9.4	30	-	34	5	-	15	-	7	-	9	100	
Childress	6	4.5	-	32	19	8	17	7	-	9	-	8	100	
Coleman	6	10.0	2	7	-	-	-	2	6	20	36	22	5	100
Collingsworth	13	28.0	-	-	14	23	20	-	-	18	-	-	25	100
Cottle	6	3.3	-	48	-	6	8	-	-	7	-	-	31	100
Dickens	7	6.1	-	80	3	-	6	-	-	4	7	-	-	100
Donley	5	7.9	-	2	-	15	26	-	-	38	-	-	19	100
Fisher	11	18.5	-	10	4	-	3	3	2	9	-	57	12	100
Foard	4	6.6	2	46	24	16	10	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
Garza	5	5.0	-	2	3	-	-	61	-	5	-	23	6	100
Hall	15	22.0	14	14	2	-	19	6	-	37	-	-	8	100
Hardeman	7	9.2	-	21	24	13	22	-	-	-	-	-	20	100
Haskell	13	72.0	20	4	21	-	-	-	-	54	1	-	*	100
Jones	20	51.2	5	12	77	-	-	-	2	4	-	-	-	100
Knox	9	39.4	53	-	36	-	-	4	5	-	-	2	-	100
Mitchell	10	18.7	1	-	30	-	9	11	7	10	5	27	-	100
Motley	6	5.5	1	-	1	-	70	3	-	10	-	-	15	100
Nolan	7	10.2	-	-	50	-	-	-	13	-	-	37	-	100
Runnels	15	26.5	-	2	56	-	-	1	14	6	-	11	10	100
Scurry	8	14.0	-	-	-	-	-	7	-	-	5	76	12	100
Stonewall	4	7.8	-	10	15	-	-	-	48	12	15	-	-	100
Taylor	7	13.9	3	-	10	-	-	-	12	18	25	21	11	100
Wheeler	10	12.4	-	1	4	29	10	4	-	31	-	-	21	100
Wichita	4	5.3	4	80	4	3	-	-	1	-	4	-	4	100
Wilbarger	11	32.2	5	30	21	12	9	-	-	4	-	-	19	100
All Other	9	4.7	-	13	-	-	5	-	-	58	7	15	2	100
Total	221	444.3	10	11	25	4	6	3	4	18	3	9	7	100
			Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Lockett 140	Mebane	Northern Star	Pay-master	Qualla	Rowden	Western Prolific	Other 1/	Total
District 3														
Brown	1	1.6	-	20	20	-	20	-	-	20	-	-	20	100
Clay	4	4.3	-	17	-	43	-	34	1	4	-	-	1	100
Brath	4	6.2	-	2	-	-	28	21	1	21	10	17	-	100
Throckmorton	3	1.9	-	-	83	-	12	-	-	3	-	-	2	100
All Other	22	15.6	1	14	14	-	20	22	6	9	1	10	3	100
Total	34	29.6	1	11	13	6	18	21	4	11	3	9	3	100
			Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Pay-master	Qualla	Rowden	Other 1/	Total
District 4														
Bell	23	40.9	1	-	-	-	33	7	2	-	30	18	9	100
Bosque	10	9.8	-	-	-	-	16	41	5	-	36	2	-	100
Collin	28	75.2	1	-	-	-	21	12	16	-	15	35	-	100
Cooke	4	5.7	-	-	-	-	4	77	-	3	11	1	4	100
Coryell	7	11.1	-	-	-	-	63	6	-	-	13	8	10	100
Dallas	12	23.1	3	-	-	-	19	18	3	-	12	45	-	100
Delta	10	27.2	-	-	-	-	21	14	26	-	4	35	-	100
Denton	8	7.5	-	-	-	-	6	57	24	-	10	3	-	100
Ellis	40	150.5	2	1	-	-	33	12	4	-	8	38	2	100
Falls	23	37.6	18	-	1	-	26	8	6	-	19	17	5	100
Fannin	19	54.0	5	-	-	-	10	40	11	-	11	23	-	100
Grayson	13	26.5	1	5	-	-	13	25	50	-	3	3	-	100
Hamilton	5	7.5	-	-	-	-	44	47	2	-	4	-	3	100
Hill	30	99.7	-	-	-	-	85	-	7	-	6	2	-	100
Hunt	23	64.1	-	-	-	-	21	14	26	-	4	35	-	100
Johnson	10	35.1	-	-	-	-	65	6	10	-	4	15	-	100
Kaufman	16	43.5	5	-	-	-	5	7	17	-	31	35	-	100
Lamar	16	30.0	50	-	-	1	4	-	9	-	4	28	4	100
Limestone	14	31.3	11	-	-	-	26	6	2	-	2	51	2	100
McLennan	35	77.8	-	-	-	-	73	2	13	-	5	4	3	100
Milan	17	32.7	8	-	-	-	19	6	4	-	29	25	9	100
Navarro	22	84.6	-	-	-	-	17	7	5	-	9	62</td		

Table 22. Texas: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties,
by counties and districts, crop of 1954 - continued

District and County	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Acala	Delta-pine	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
District 5													
Angelina	3	2.1	-	44	34	-	-	-	12	-	-	10	100
Bowie	3	3.3	-	57	8	-	-	1	9	24	1	1	100
Brazos	10	10.7	20	74	-	-	1	-	3	-	-	2	100
Cass	4	4.2	-	82	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Cherokee	6	6.5	-	15	52	-	2	-	2	2	27	-	100
Freestone	7	15.2	-	3	22	-	20	-	20	35	-	-	100
Grimes	10	18.7	-	91	-	-	1	-	3	1	-	4	100
Harrison	9	6.6	-	97	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Hopkins	8	13.1	-	-	-	-	32	6	9	49	2	2	100
Houston	8	22.9	-	36	13	-	36	-	5	3	-	7	100
Leon	5	13.6	-	79	4	-	-	-	4	4	-	9	100
Madison	4	5.4	-	27	8	-	23	-	-	27	-	15	100
Nacogdoches	5	3.2	-	37	43	-	7	-	-	-	13	-	100
Panola	2	3.7	-	-	30	5	60	-	5	-	-	-	100
Red River	9	14.7	-	22	-	-	12	13	21	32	-	-	100
Robertson	12	33.9	-	93	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	100
Rusk	4	6.2	-	62	24	-	4	-	-	5	-	5	100
San Augustine	5	2.9	-	61	-	-	7	-	-	7	13	12	100
San Jacinto	2	2.1	-	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	100
Shelby	7	4.7	-	44	11	8	1	6	1	23	3	3	100
Smith	9	6.7	-	18	73	-	4	-	-	5	-	-	100
Van Zandt	5	9.4	-	-	9	9	39	2	22	1	-	18	100
Walker	3	4.5	-	59	3	-	-	-	20	-	-	18	100
Waller	4	2.0	-	-	55	-	-	-	2	38	-	5	100
All Other	20	23.9	1	14	14	5	21	2	18	22	1	2	100
Total	164	246.2	1	47	11	1	13	2	7	11	1	6	100
District 6													
		Acala 15170	Delta-pine 808	EH Mesilla Valley	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stone- ville	Texas Acala	Other 1/	—	—	Total	
El Paso	18	81.6	75	-	3	19	3	-	-	-	-	-	100
Hudspeth	7	31.3	94	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pecos	3	12.3	92	2	5	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	100
Reeves	21	116.7	73	5	13	2	1	-	3	3	-	-	100
Ward	4	9.2	88	1	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	3	14.8	66	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	100
Total	56	265.9	78	2	8	3	6	1	1	1	-	-	100
District 7													
		Acala	Hibred and Half & Half	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stone- ville	Western Prolific	Other 1/	Total	
McCulloch	6	4.4	28	-	4	12	-	46	1	-	1	8	100
Tom Green	9	18.9	-	11	54	2	-	4	1	2	26	-	100
All Other	16	9.8	-	4	19	10	4	15	18	-	23	7	100
Total	31	33.1	4	7	37	6	1	13	6	1	22	3	100
District 8													
		Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total	
Austin	15	15.7	-	34	1	-	4	-	2	50	-	9	100
Bastrop	4	7.7	-	21	-	5	2	-	20	40	-	12	100
Bee	4	4.4	-	-	45	-	3	-	12	1	39	-	100
Bexar	3	.7	-	-	-	12	-	-	78	-	10	-	100
Burleson	16	30.6	-	90	-	-	2	-	1	4	-	3	100
Caldwell	8	12.0	-	11	1	5	2	-	28	36	-	17	100
Colorado	4	8.7	-	48	4	-	-	-	24	4	13	7	100
De Witt	9	8.1	-	-	9	6	26	9	44	-	6	-	100
Fayette	23	20.0	-	16	-	5	5	25	39	5	10	-	100
Gonzales	9	5.7	-	10	-	3	31	-	8	33	4	11	100
Guadalupe	8	9.0	-	-	-	39	-	1	39	-	21	-	100
Hays	5	7.5	-	5	-	-	-	24	47	-	24	-	100
Karnes	14	10.0	-	5	1	19	-	5	6	58	-	6	100
Lavaca	17	22.2	-	2	-	15	9	4	11	45	-	14	100
Lee	4	5.2	-	-	6	20	-	38	28	-	8	-	100
Nueces	31	17.3	32	14	12	7	-	26	2	3	4	-	100
Refugio	4	10.6	7	8	7	38	-	31	-	-	9	-	100
San Patricio	28	45.8	3	2	5	77	-	4	1	-	8	-	100
Travis	15	16.3	-	-	1	14	2	-	9	66	-	8	100
Washington	17	18.4	-	32	3	2	20	-	7	26	-	10	100
All Other	6	2.9	-	5	4	7	-	4	8	66	-	6	100
Total	244	278.8	7	19	4	17	5	8	8	22	1	9	100
District 9													
		Delfos	Delta-pine	Empire	Lankart	Mebane	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total	
Brazoria	6	13.1	-	89	-	-	-	-	8	1	2	-	100
Calhoun	6	19.2	1	73	-	3	-	-	-	-	5	-	100
Fort Bend	22	58.5	-	86	-	2	-	-	-	4	8	-	100
Jackson	7	14.6	-	72	1	9	-	2	2	6	1	7	100
Matagorda	9	16.6	2	84	4	-	-	-	-	4	6	-	100
Victoria	11	19.9	-	12	-	63	-	-	2	17	1	5	100
Wharton	24	77.4	-	95	-	-	-	-	-	1	4	12	100
All Other	4	3.7	-	74	-	7	-	-	-	7	12	-	100
Total	89	223.0	1	80	*	7	*	2	*	2	2	6	100
District 10													
		Acala	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Lankart	Northern Star	Qualla	Rowden	Stone- ville	Other 1/	Total	
Atascosa	4	2.0	-	4	-	-	-	6	45	-	45	-	100
Cameron	53	93.1	-	60	22	5	-	3	1	2	7	-	100
Duval	3	1.0	-	-	-	20	5	41	24	-	10	-	100
Hidalgo	55	124.1	3	68	9	5	-	2	1	2	3	7	100
Jim Wells	6	3.4	-	17	2	-	26	13	28	1	3	10	100
Live Oak	5	2.0	-	-	-	6	-	3	86	-	5	-	100
Maverick	3	7.2	-	97	1	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	100
Willacy	30	42.2	-	69	4	4	-	3	9	-	2	9	100
Zavala	4	8.8	-	21	11	-	-	2	-	-	47	19	100
All Other	7	6.1	-	30	3	-	-	7	10	29	2	19	100
Total	170	289.9	1	62	12	4	*</td						

Table 23. Other Southeastern and South Central States: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1950-54

State and Year	Oinnings	Coker 100-W	Delta-pine	Empire	Fox	Paula	Rowden	Sealand and Coastland	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Virginia											
1950	3.9	99	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100
1951	12.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1952	20.2	98	2	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1953	15.4	99	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1954	-	99	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Florida											
1950	8.1	27	42	-	-	-	-	1	27	3	100
1951	18.2	87	6	-	-	-	-	*	6	1	100
1952	16.8	81	1	-	-	-	-	8	4	6	100
1953	13.8	66	7	1	-	-	-	4	2	20	100
1954	-	69	15	1	-	-	-	1	*	14	100
Kentucky											
1950	4.4	1	90	5	-	1	-	-	-	3	100
1951	4.7	-	94	4	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
1952	5.1	-	86	2	11	-	-	-	-	1	100
1953	6.5	-	83	1	14	-	-	-	-	2	100
1954	-	-	83	-	17	-	-	-	-	-	100
Illinois											
1950	1.3	-	25	55	-	2	-	-	18	-	100
1951	1.0	*	13	72	-	-	-	-	15	-	100
1952	.9	-	9	51	1	-	-	-	27	12	100
1953	1.7	-	12	30	32	-	-	-	24	2	100
1954	-	-	-	-	72	-	-	-	18	10	100
Missouri											
1950	264.6	-	47	2	-	15	2	-	32	2	100
1951	321.7	-	53	1	-	13	1	-	30	2	100
1952	394.1	1	43	1	7	15	2	-	30	1	100
1953	452.4	2	31	*	26	12	1	-	27	1	100
1954	-	1	30	*	40	4	1	-	20	4	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 24. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states, 1950-54

State and Year	Oinnings	Acala 4-42	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	Mesilla Valley	Paymaster	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total
	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
1950	433	4	33	3	-	*	-	16	-	-	43	100
1951	799	1	66	7	-	*	-	4	-	-	22	100
1952	932	17	58	-	-	*	-	4	-	-	21	100
1953	1,055	10	80	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	3	100
1954	-	6	80	4	-	-	-	*	3	-	7	100
California												
1950	981	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1951	1,764	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1952	1,822	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
1953	1,785	100	*	*	-	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
1954	-	99	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
New Mexico												
1950	173	-	-	49	8	20	-	-	-	-	23	100
1951	265	-	-	42	7	12	-	-	-	8	31	100
1952	311	-	2	72	6	2	7	4	-	5	2	100
1953	315	-	-	60	11	1	4	7	-	3	14	100
1954	-	-	-	71	6	2	6	2	-	3	10	100
Texas-District 6												
1950	154	-	-	23	-	37	-	29	-	-	11	100
1951	204	-	-	66	-	23	-	11	-	-	-	100
1952	254	-	-	42	6	32	1	15	-	-	4	100
1953	266	-	-	65	8	10	-	13	-	-	4	100
1954	-	-	-	78	2	3	-	6	1	1	9	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Table 25. Western Area: Estimated percentage of cotton acreage planted to specified varieties, by states and counties, 1954

State and Counties	Gins	1953 Ginnings	Acala 4-42	Acala P-18	Acala 33	Acala 44	Acala 1517C	Acala 2916	Acala WR-2946	Pima S-1	Other 1/	Total
	Number	1,000 bales	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Arizona												
Graham	7	24.4	-	-	-	-	26	22	27	15	10	100
Maricopa	47	460.3	7	1	3	87	-	-	-	2	-	100
Pima	9	82.0	-	-	-	93	-	-	5	2	-	100
Pinal	40	353.4	3	1	5	88	-	-	-	2	*	100
Yuma	10	98.3	16	-	1	83	-	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	5	36.9	-	-	-	-	100	-	-	-	-	100
Total	118	1,055.3	6	1	3	80	4	1	2	3	*	100
		Acala 4-42	Acala P-18	Acala 44	Mesa Acala	-	-	-	-	-	-	Total
California												
Fresno	65	426.5	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Imperial	17	173.1	93	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kern	66	505.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Kings	34	215.2	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Madera	15	79.3	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Merced	11	54.1	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Riverside	7	68.1	91	-	5	4	-	-	-	-	-	100
Tulare	42	262.5	100	*	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Total	257	1,784.5	99	*	1	*	-	-	-	-	-	100
		Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	Empire	Mesilla Valley	Northern Star	Paymaster	Pima 32	Stoneville	Other 1/	Total	
New Mexico												
Chaves	12	64.7	70	8	10	1	-	-	-	5	6	100
Dona Ana	16	79.9	83	-	-	6	-	-	10	-	1	100
Eddy	12	64.3	88	5	-	1	-	-	-	6	-	100
Lea	9	40.6	13	22	15	-	16	29	-	3	2	100
Luna	6	39.7	100	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
Roosevelt	4	11.7	-	-	-	-	18	40	-	-	42	100
All Other	5	13.9	84	-	-	*	4	10	-	-	2	100
Total	64	314.8	71	6	4	2	3	6	2	3	3	100
		Acala 1517C	Delta-pine	EE 808	Mesilla Valley	Pima 32	Pima S-1	Stoneville	Texas Acala	Other 1/	Total	
Texas District 6												
El Paso	18	81.6	75	-	-	3	19	3	-	-	-	100
Hudspeth	7	31.3	94	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	100
Pecos	3	12.3	92	2	5	-	-	-	-	1	-	100
Reeves	21	116.7	73	5	13	2	1	-	3	3	-	100
Ward	4	9.2	88	1	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
All Other	3	14.8	66	-	32	-	-	-	-	-	2	100
Total	56	265.9	78	2	8	3	6	1	1	1	*	100

* Less than 0.5 percent.

1/ Includes proportionately small acreages planted to unspecified varieties and to other varieties of minor importance.

Central States: Estimated percentage of
specified varieties, b.

NATIONAL AGRICULTURAL LIBRARY



1022919568

LIBRARY
PLANT VARIETY PROTECTION OFFICE
U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE